



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER <u>09/348,354</u>	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT <u>1636</u>	PAPER NUMBER <u>21</u>
-------------------------	---------------------------

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Allen Turner, Dr. Richard Verhage (3) Dr. Mark Einerhand
(2) Dr. Johan Rones (4) Dr. Remy Yudel, Dr. David Guzo

Date of Interview: 17 January 2002

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative) ^{faxed}

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: Data illustrating stability of Applicants' Ad vectors.

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending

Identification of prior art discussed: Crystal Patent 6, 127, 525

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion focused on the differences in vector stability between the '525 vectors and those of Applicant.

Because the status of claim 2 in the after final submitted 16 August 2001 was ambiguous, it was agreed that the finality of the most recent office action would be withdrawn (while maintaining the same period for response).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04): If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.